

Approximation Theory on Summability of Fourier Series

Sanjay Mukherjee^{a*}, A J Khan^b

^aResearch Scholar, MATS University, Raipur(C.G.), India

^bMATS University, Raipur(C.G.), India

^aEmail: sanjaymukherjeeruma@gmail.com

^bEmail: khanaj@matsuniversity.ac.in

Abstract

The results of Chandra to (e,c) means U.K.Shrivastava and S.K.Verma have proved the following theorem

THEOREM : Let $f \in C_{2\pi} \cap Lip \alpha, 0 < \alpha \leq 1$. Then

$$\|t_n^c - f\| = o(n^{-\alpha/2}),$$

Where $t_n^c(f; x)$ is nth (e, c) means of fourier series of f at x.

In this paper we obtain the Fourier series by (N,p,q)(E,1) which is the analogues to the (e, c) means given above .The theorem is as follows

THEOREM: Let $\{p_n\}$ and $\{q_n\}$ be the positive monotonic, non increasing sequence of real numbers be summable (N,p,q)(E,1) to f(x) at the point t=x is

$$t_N^{p,q,E} - f(x) = o(1)$$

Keywords: Fourier series; Borel means; Lebesgue series.

* Corresponding author.

1. Introduction

Let $\{p_n\}$ and $\{q_n\}$ be the sequences of constants, real or complex, such that

$$P_n = p_1 + p_2 + p_3 + \dots + p_n = \sum_{r=0}^n p_r \rightarrow \infty, \text{ as } n \rightarrow \infty,$$

$$Q_n = q_1 + q_2 + q_3 + \dots + q_n = \sum_{r=0}^n q_r \rightarrow \infty, \text{ as } n \rightarrow \infty, \tag{1.1}$$

$$R_n = p_0q_n + p_1q_{n-1} + p_2q_{n-2} + \dots + p_nq_0 = \sum_{r=0}^n p_rq_{n-r} \rightarrow \infty, \text{ as } n \rightarrow \infty$$

Given two sequences $\{p_n\}$ and $\{q_n\}$ convolution $(p * q)$ is defined as

$$R_n = (p * q)_n = \sum_{r=0}^n p_{n-r} q_r \tag{1.2}$$

Let $\sum_{n=0}^{\infty} u_n$ be an infinite series with the sequence of its nth partial sums $\{s_n\}$.

We write $t_n^{p,q} = \frac{1}{R_n} \sum_{r=0}^n p_{n-r} q_r$ (1.3)

If $R_n \neq 0$, for all n, the generalized Norlund transform of the sequence $\{s_n\}$ is the sequence $\{t_n^{p,q}\}$.

If $t_n^{p,q} \rightarrow S$, as $n \rightarrow \infty$, then the series $\sum_{n=0}^{\infty} u_n$ or sequence $\{s_n\}$ is summable to S by

$$S_n \rightarrow S(N, p, q) \tag{1.4}$$

The necessary and sufficient conditions for (N,p,q) method to be regular are

$$\sum_{r=0}^n |p_{n-r} q_r| = o(|R_n|) \tag{1.5}$$

And $p_{n-r} = o(|R_n|)$, as $n \rightarrow \infty$ for every fixed $k \geq 0$, for which $q_r \neq 0$

$$E_n^1 = \frac{1}{2^n} \sum_{r=0}^n \binom{n}{r} s_r \tag{1.6}$$

If $E_n^1 \rightarrow s$, as $n \rightarrow \infty$, then the series $\sum_{n=0}^{\infty} u_n$ is said to be (E,1) summable to s (Hardy [1]) :

$$t_n^{p,q,E} = \frac{1}{R_n} \sum_{r=0}^n p_{n-r} q_r E_r^1$$

$$= \frac{1}{R_n} \sum_{r=0}^n p_{n-r} q_r \frac{1}{2^k} \sum_{r=0}^n \binom{k}{r} s_r \tag{1.7}$$

If $T_n^{p,q,E} \rightarrow \infty$, as $n \rightarrow \infty$, then we say that the series $\sum_{n=0}^{\infty} u_n$ or the sequence $\{s_n\}$ is summable to S by

(N,p,q)(E,1) summability method.

2. Structure

2. Degree of approximation by borel means and (E, Q) means were obtained by Chandra [4] and [5] respectively .Extending the results of Chandra to (e,c) means U.K.Shrivastava and S.K.Verma[9] have proved the following theorem

THEOREM : Let $f \in C_{2\pi} \cap Lip \alpha, 0 < \alpha \leq 1$. Then

$$\|t_n^c - f\| = o(n^{-\alpha/2}),$$

Where $t_n^c(f; x)$ is nth (e,c) means of fourier series of f at x. (2.1)

Our theorem fourier series by (N,p,q)(E,1) is the analogues to the (e,c) means theorem, which is as follows

THEOREM: Let $\{p_n\}$ and $\{q_n\}$ be the positive monotonic ,non increasing sequence of real numbers be summable (N,p,q)(E,1) to $f(x)$ at the point $t=x$ is

$$t_N^{p,q,E} - f(x) = o(1)$$

Proof of the above theorem required some lemmas

3. Lemmas

Lemma 3.1- For $0 \leq t \leq \frac{1}{n}$ $|K_n(t)| = o(n)$

Lemma 3.2- If $\{p_n\}$ and $\{q_n\}$ are non negative and non increasing, then for $0 \leq a \leq b < \infty, 0 \leq t \leq \pi$, and any n we have $\frac{1}{2\pi R_n} \left| \sum_{r=a}^b p_{n-r} q_r \frac{\cos^r(t/2) \sin(r+1)(t/2)}{\sin(t/2)} \right| = o\left(\frac{R_k}{tR_n}\right)$

4. Proof of Theorem

Let $f(t)$ be a periodic function with period 2π and integrable in the same sense of Lebesgue over the interval $(-\pi, \pi)$

Let its Fourier series be given by

$$f(t) \sim \frac{1}{2} a_0 + \sum_{n=1}^{\infty} (a_n \cos nt + b_n \sin nt) \tag{4.1}$$

Following Zygmund [3] , the nth sum $s_n(x)$ of the series at $t=x$ is given by

$$s_n(x) = f(x) + \frac{1}{2\pi} \int_0^\pi \phi_x(t) \frac{\sin(n+1)t}{\sin(t/2)} dt \tag{4.2}$$

So the (E,1) mean of the series at t=x is given by

$$\begin{aligned} E_n^1(x) &= \frac{1}{2^n} \sum_{r=0}^n \binom{n}{r} s_r(x) \\ &= f(x) + \frac{1}{2^{n+1}\pi} \int_{r=0}^\pi \frac{\phi_x(t)}{\sin(t/2)} \left\{ \sum_{r=0}^n \binom{n}{r} \sin\left(r + \frac{1}{2}\right)t \right\} dt \\ &= f(x) + \frac{1}{2^{n+1}\pi} \int_0^\pi \frac{\phi_x(t)}{\sin(t/2)} \operatorname{Im} \{ e^{it/2} (1 + e^{it})^n \} dt \\ &= f(x) + \frac{1}{2^{n+1}\pi} \int_0^\pi \frac{\phi_x(t)}{\sin(t/2)} \operatorname{Im} \{ e^{it/2} (1 + \cos t + i \sin t)^n \} dt \end{aligned} \tag{4.3}$$

$$\begin{aligned} &= f(x) + \frac{1}{2^{n+1}\pi} \int_0^\pi \frac{\phi_x(t)}{\sin(t/2)} \operatorname{Im} \left\{ e^{it/2} 2^n \cos^n\left(\frac{t}{2}\right) \left(\cos\frac{t}{2} + i \sin\frac{t}{2} \right)^n \right\} dt \\ &= f(x) + \frac{1}{2^{n+1}\pi} \int_0^\pi \frac{\phi_x(t)}{\sin(t/2)} \operatorname{Im} \left\{ e^{it/2} 2^n \cos^n\left(\frac{t}{2}\right) \left(\cos\frac{nt}{2} + i \sin\frac{nt}{2} \right) \right\} dt \\ &= f(x) + \frac{1}{2\pi} \int_0^\pi \phi_x(t) \frac{\cos^n(t/2) \sin(n+1)(t/2)}{\sin(t/2)} dt \end{aligned}$$

Therefore

$$\begin{aligned} t_n^{p,q,E}(x) - f(x) &= \left[\int_0^{1/n} + \int_{1/n}^\delta + \int_\delta^\pi \right] K_n(t) \phi_x(t) dt \\ &= I_1 + I_2 + I_3 \text{ (say)} \end{aligned} \tag{4.4}$$

We have

$$\begin{aligned} |I_1| &\leq \int_0^{1/n} |K_n(t)| |\phi_x(t)| dt \\ &= O(n) \int_0^{1/n} |\phi_x(t)| dt \text{ (using Lemma 3.1)} \end{aligned} \tag{4.5}$$

$$= o\left(\frac{1}{\alpha(n)}\right)$$

$$= o(1) \text{ as } n \rightarrow \infty \tag{4.6}$$

Now

$$\begin{aligned} |I_2| &\leq \int_{1/n}^{\delta} |K_n(t)| |\phi_x(t)| dt \text{ (where } 0 < \delta < 1) \\ &= \int_{1/n}^{\delta} o\left(\frac{R(1/t)}{tR(n)}\right) |\phi_x(t)| dt \text{ (using Lemma 3.2)} \\ &= o\left(\frac{1}{R(n)}\right) \int_{1/n}^{\delta} \left(\frac{R(1/t)}{t}\right) |\phi_x(t)| dt \\ &= o\left(\frac{1}{R(n)}\right) \left[\left\{ \frac{R(1/t)}{t} \phi_x(t) \right\}_{1/n}^{\delta} - \int_{1/n}^{\delta} d\left(\frac{R(1/t)}{t}\right) \phi_x(t) \right] \\ &= o\left(\frac{1}{R(n)}\right) + o\left(\frac{1}{\alpha(n)}\right) + o\left(\frac{1}{R(n)}\right) \left[\int_{1/n}^{\delta} \phi_x(t) \left\{ d\left(\frac{R(1/t)\alpha(1/t)}{t\alpha(1/t)}\right) \right\} \right] \\ &= o\left(\frac{1}{R(n)}\right) + o\left(\frac{1}{\alpha(n)}\right) + o(1) \end{aligned}$$

$$= o(1), \text{ as } n \rightarrow \infty \tag{4.7}$$

Now

$$I_3 = \int_{\delta}^{\pi} |K_n(t)| |\phi_x(t)| dt$$

By Riemann-Lebesgue theorem and regularity of the method of summability we have

$$I_3 = o(1), \text{ as } n \rightarrow \infty \tag{4.8}$$

Combining (4.6),(4.7) and (4.8) we get

$$t_N^{p,q,E} - f(x) = o(1)$$

This completes the proof of the theorem.

5. Conclusion

We conclude that the above theorem which is proved in (e,c) means can be proved by $(N,p,q)(E,1)$ means.

Acknowledgements

History of all great works into witness that no great work was ever done without either active or passive support of a person 'surrounding and one's close quarters. Thus is it not hard to conclude how active assistance from senior could positively impact the execution of the project. I am highly thankful to our faculty head A.J. Khan Sir for his active guidance throughout the completion of the paper. Last but not the least, I would also want to extend my appreciation to those who could not be mentioned here but have well played their role in inspire me behind the certain.

References

- [1] G.H. Hardy, Divergent series, Oxford University Press, Oxford,UK,1st edition ,1949.
- [2] D. Borwein , On product of sequences ,Journal of the London Mathematical Society, vol.33pp.352-357, (1958).
- [3] A. Zygmund , Trigonometric Series. Vol. I Cambridge University Press, Cambridge, UK, 2nd edition, 1959.
- [4] P. Chandra. On the degree of approximation of function belonging to Lipschitz Class. Labdev. Jour. Science and Technology, 13A (1975), 181-183.
- [5] P. Chandra. On the degree of approximation of continuous functions Commun. Fac. Sci. Univ. Ankara Ser A, 30(1981),7-16.
- [6] K.Ikeno, Lebesgue constant for a family of Summability method, Tohoku Math. J.,17(1965),250-265.
- [7] B.Kuttner, C.T.Rjajagopal, and M.S.Rangachari, Tauberian convergence theorems for summability methods of the Karamata family, J.Indian Math. Soc. 44(1980),23-38.
- [8] A.Meir, Tauberian constants for a family of transformations, Annals of Math. 78(1963), 594-599
- [9] U.K.Shrivastava and S.L.Varma, On the degree of approximation of function belonging to Lipschitz Class by (e,c) means, Tamkang Journal of Maths, 26,no.3(1965),97-101.